

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 DUKES, ET AL.,

No. C 01-2252 CRB

12 Plaintiffs,

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
EXTENSION**

13 v.

14 WAL-MART STORES, INC.,

15 Defendant.
16 _____/

17 Plaintiffs ask that this Court grant them an additional six months to file their motion
18 for class certification. See dkt. 867. Wal-Mart opposes the request. See dkt. 874.

19 Extensions may be granted “for good cause,” Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(A), which the Court
20 finds absent here. The Court initially made the motion due January 11 2013, see dkt. 812 at
21 14, and then approved the parties’ stipulation extending the deadline an additional three
22 months to April 11, 2013, see dkt. 833.

23 Extensive discovery had already been completed while this case proceeded as a
24 nationwide class action, Seligman Decl., dkt. 761 ¶ 3, and the current discovery period
25 presented a limited opportunity to supplement that record—not to start from scratch. Plaintiffs
26 say discovery disputes have hampered their progress, but every party has to prioritize and
27 tailor its discovery efforts in light of court-imposed deadlines and the time it takes to resolve

28 //

1 formal disputes, which the Court has demonstrated its willingness to address promptly. E.g.,
2 dks. 872, 863, 837. Having already granted a three-month extension, the Court finds no
3 good cause for further extension.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5
6
7 Dated: March 18, 2013



CHARLES R. BREYER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California